I hope that we, first the withstanding ous capacity of trying to be matter of he should be work he now to members justify it.

secured the

NNUATION F BILL.

ading. Page 564.) Leader of the 3 Superannia. und that was ich was supertion Fund in mbers of the in in the old t four of the hould be subver, with the aishing number reasingly diffioard for these nly three subpensioners the and infirmity already on the me the difficulty direct that a when no more poard the fund r-officio member the Government. t altered circum rt it.

PH secured the

MENT BILL. 22. Page 706.)

Attorney-General Motion on this t to the subject be moved.

e without amendadopted. DOG FENCE ACT AMENDMENT BILL. Second reading.

The Hon. R. J. RUDALL (Attorney-General)

That this Bill be now read a second time. The Act provides that, for the purpose of voviding protection against the ingress of ild dogs, a dog-proof fence is to be estabshed and maintained in the northern areas the State and for this purpose the Act institutes a board called the Dog Fence goard and gives to the board powers to estabsch and maintain the dog fence, to rate land in pastoral areas in order to raise the funds ecessary for the purposes of the board, and to arry out various ancillary duties. Whilst the act empowers the board to erect stretches of ew fence to make good deficiencies in existing ences, the general plan of the Act is that tences already existing across the northern part of the State should be constituted the dog lence and, where necessary, be altered so as to e made dog-proof. These existing fences are, f course, privately owned by the lessees of the and concerned. The Act provides that the eard may impose an annual rate upon ratable and, that is, in general, the land comprised in he pastoral areas. Section 26 provides for a gneral rate on all ratable land and the rate is ot to exceed 1s. 3d. per square mile of ratable and. Section 27 provides that an additional ate may be imposed on ratable land adjoining to dog fence and situated within 10 miles of This rate also is not to exceed he fence. 8. 3d, per square mile of ratable land and the ction provides that, if an additional rate is clared under section 27 for any financial Far, it is to be the same as the rate declared or that year under section 26.

Section 31 provides for a Government subdy to be paid to the board, the subsidy being
the pound for every pound of rates declared
the board. The revenue of the board is, in
teneral, to be applied by the board in the
expection and maintenance of the dog fence.
The provides that, in every financial
tar, the board is to pay to the owners of any
teness which comprise part of the dog fence a
miform amount not exceeding £8 per mile of
tence. These payments are to be applied by
the owners towards the maintenance and
expection of the dog fence and the destruction of wild dogs in the vicinity of the fence
that the Act imposes on those owners a duty

to carry out these tasks. Representations have been made that this amount of £8 per mile, which was fixed under the Act in 1946, is now insufficient to met present day costs involved in carrying out the duties imposed on owners of the fence and it has been suggested that the maximum annual amount payable under section 24 should be increased to £16 per mile of fence. Such an increase would, of necessity, involve an increase in the rating powers of the Board. The board has therefore recommended that the Act be amended accordingly and this is done by the Bill. The suggestions for alteration of the law have been considered by the Stockowners' Association which has approved of the proposals. Clause 2 accordingly increases from £8 to £16 the maximum amount per mile of the dog fence which may be paid to an owner for expenditure on the maintenance and inspection of the fence and for the other purposes mentioned in section 24.

Clause 3 increases from 1s. 3d. to 3s. per square mile of ratable land the maximum rate which may be imposed on ratable land under section 26. Clause 4 deals with the additional rate which may, under section 27, be imposed on ratable land within 10 miles of the dog. fence. No alteration to the maximum rate, namely, 1s. 3d. per square mile of ratable land, is proposed, but the clause provides that, where the rate under section 26 for any financial year is less than 1s. 3d. per square mile, any additional rate under section 27 for that year is to be of the same amount. If the rate under section 26 is Is. 3d, or more, then the additional rate under section 27 is to be 1s. 3d. As before mentioned, section 31 provides for a Government subsidy on rates declared by the board. It is not proposed to increase the amount which may be paid by way of subsidy by the Government and clause 5 therefore provides that the pound for pound subsidy on rates declared by the board provided for by section 31 is not to apply to rates declared at an amount greater than 1s. 3d. per square mile. The effect is that the maximum Government subsidy for any financial year will not exceed the amount which is now payable under section 31.

The Hon. F. J. CONDON secured the adjournment of the debate.

ADJOURNMENT.

At 4.35 p.m. the Council adjourned until Tuesday, September 29, at 2 p.m.